

14.05.2025
Court No. 35
Item No.5
Rakib

W.P.A. 5782 of 2023

Rajkumar Chowdhury.
VS
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Anil Kumar Chattopadhyaya.
... for the Petitioner.
Mr. Subrata Guha Biswas,
Mr. Sandipan Maity.
...for State.

Affidavit-of-service filed by the petitioner be kept
with the record.

Learned advocate appearing for the petitioner is
aggrieved by the fact that the representation which was
submitted with the Officer-in-Charge of Usthi Police
Station was not considered.

As none appears on behalf of the State, Mr.
Subrata Guha Biswas, learned advocate along with
Sandipan Maity, learned advocate who ordinarily appear
for the State are directed to represent the State. Their
appearance may be regularized by the concerned
authorities.

I have considered the representation which was
advanced by the petitioner by way of speed post. Having
considered that such representation was sent on
22.02.2023 and more than two years have passed since
then, I direct that if the same inconveniences are faced by
the petitioner, petitioner will fill a fresh representation

before the Officer-in-Charge, Usthi Police Station, who on receipt of the same will act in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid observations WPA 5782 of 2023 is disposed of.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)