

Court No. 6
(265719)

07.03.2025
(AD 23)
(S. Banerjee)

CO 809 of 2025

Anindya Maity
Vs.
Sriparna Jana (Maity)

Ms. Sanghamitra Mridha

...for the petitioner

This application under Article 227 of the Constitution of India is at the instance of the husband and is directed against an order dated January 29, 2025 passed by the learned Additional District Judge, Fast Track Court-I, Barrackpore in Matrimonial Suit No. 1292 of 2024. By the order impugned the petitioners herein were directed to pay maintenance pendente lite to the wife to the tune of Rs. 8,000/- and Rs. 7,000/- for the minor son and a lumpsum amount of Rs. 10,000/- towards litigation cost.

The learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is at present jobless and has no income. The petitioner is paying some amount on account of maintenance for the child. He further submits that the wife is earning a substantial amount as rental income.

However, the allegation of the husband that the wife is earning a substantial amount of money on account of rental income, could not be substantiated.

It is not in dispute that the petitioner is an engineer. The petitioner claims to be unemployed and the opposite party herein alleges that the petitioner is earning a substantial amount of money as an astrologer.

Learned trial Judge after taking into consideration the materials on record directed the petitioner to pay alimony for the wife and the minor son as well as the litigation costs.

This Court does not find any infirmity in the orders warranting any interference under Article 227 of the Constitution of India.

CO 809 of 2025 is accordingly dismissed.

(Hiranmay Bhattacharyya, J.)