

02.04.2025
Item no.14
Court No.39
srm

**IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION**

C.R.M.(DB) 820 of 2025

In Re:- An application under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Dhaniakhali Police Station Case No.376 of 2024 dated 17.12.2024 under Sections 329(4)/75 of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023 and Section 8 of the Protection of Children from Sexual Offences Act, 2012 (POCSO);

And

In Re : Raju Malik @ Dalu

.... Petitioner

Mr. Prithwiraj Biswas,
Mr. Aniruddha Bhattacharya,
Ms. Indrani Das

...for the Petitioner

Ms. Sukanya Bhattacharya,
Ms. Trisha Rakshit

... for the State

Affidavit of service filed on behalf of the petitioner is taken on record.

State furnished service report in terms of order dated 25th March, 2025.

It is found that the service have been effected upon the *de facto* complainant.

Despite service, none appears on behalf of the *de facto* complainant.

Mr. Prithwiraj Biswas, learned Advocate for the petitioner submits that there are no such ingredients to attract the offences alleged in the written complaint. The FIR has been

initiated out of political vendetta. He prays for enlargement of the petitioner on bail.

Ms. Sukanya Bhattacharya, learned Advocate for the State submits that the statement of the victim divulge serious allegations against the petitioner of his involvement in the offence. She prays for dismissal of the application.

Perused the case diary.

It is found from the statement of the victim that there are serious allegations against the petitioner. Considering the gravity of the offence, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioner is rejected.

The application for bail being **CRM (DB) 820 of 2025** stands dismissed.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of the order, if applied for, be given to the parties on compliance of all necessary legal formalities.

(Bivas Pattanayak, J.)