

17.09.2025

Sl.No. 19
Ct.No. 23
Amalranjan

In The High Court At Calcutta
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side

WPA 3652 of 2010

Milina Parvin
Vs.
The State of West Bengal and ors.

1. None appears on behalf of the petitioner on call.

No accommodation is sought for. Even on earlier occasions, none appeared on behalf of either of the parties on call.

2. This case pertains to the year 2010, almost 15 years have been elapsed.

3. The petitioner has approached before this court by filing the writ petition praying for a direction upon the respondent authorities to issue advertisement/public notice for selection of Sahayika in Molloapara Sishu Siksha Kendra, District- Nadia with immediate effect and also to cancel the selection of respondent no. 6.

4. Considering the nature of prayer and long pendency of this case, this court finds that either the petitioner's purpose of filing writ petition has been resolved or abandoned her prayer due to passage of time or became infructuous.

5. In the above backdrop, the instant writ petition being **WPA 3652 of 2010** is **dismissed** without any order as to costs.
6. Consequently, the connected applications, if any, are also, thus, disposed of.
7. Interim order, if any, stands vacated.
8. All parties shall act in terms of the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Ajay Kumar Gupta, J.)