

said Customer Care Centre had conducted a raid and seized the water plump used by the *de facto* complainant by illegally abstracting electricity. Subsequently petitioner demanded a bribe of Rs.40,000/- for return of the pump. In light of the aforesaid materials disclosing primary role played by the petitioner in the offence we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to him.

4. Accordingly, prayer for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Gaurang Kanth, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)