

04
19.03.2025
Ct. No. 11
Jayanta

WPLRT 31 of 2025

Chandranath Ghosh & Anr.
Vs
State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Sufi Kamal
Mr. Gazi Faruque Hossain
Ms. Varsha Roy
Ms. T. Khatun
Mr. S. Hossain

.....For the Petitioners.

Mr. Dyutiman Banerjee

.....For the Pvt. Respondent.

Md. T. M. Siddiqui, Ld. AGP
Ms. Debdooti Dutta

.....For the State.

Affidavit-of-service, as filed, be kept on record.

The present writ petition has been preferred primarily praying for issuance of necessary direction upon the learned Tribunal to dispose of the Original Application (in short, OA.) being OA 2288 of 2021 (LRTT).

Mr. Sufi Kamal, the learned advocate appearing for the petitioner submits that the private respondents herein preferred the OA way back in the year 2021 and by an order dated 8th January, 2025 the final hearing of the OA has been deferred and fixed about ten months thereafter on 27th November, 2025. Such delay in fixing a date of hearing is too long in a system where justice is supposed to be swift but deliberate.

He further submits that the pleadings have already been exchanged by the parties in the OA and as such the learned Tribunal may be directed to dispose of the OA as early as possible.

Mr. Banerjee, the learned advocate appearing for the private respondents, who are the applicants in the OA, does not oppose the prayer towards issuance of direction towards early disposal of the OA.

Mr. Siddiqui, the learned Additional Government Pleader enters appearance on behalf of the State respondents.

It is for the learned Tribunal to regulate its own procedure in respect of the cases on Board for effective disposal and to ensure that the cases are disposed of within a reasonable period of time.

As the OA is pending before the learned Tribunal for more than three years and as pleadings have been exchanged by the parties in the OA, we dispose of the writ petition directing the learned Tribunal to finally dispose of the OA on the returnable date, i.e., on 27th November, 2025 or as expeditiously as possible within a period of eight weeks thereafter, without granting any unnecessary adjournment to either of the parties.

There shall, however, be no order as to costs.

Urgent certified photocopy of this order, if applied for, be supplied as expeditiously as possible.

(Reetobroto Kumar Mitra,J.) (Tapabrata Chakraborty,J.)