

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA  
Criminal Revisional Jurisdiction  
Appellate Side

Present:  
The Hon'ble Justice Jay Sengupta

**CRR 958 of 2025**  
**With**  
**CRAN 1 of 2025**

Sridip Boral & Ors.  
Vs.  
State of West Bengal & Anr.

For the Petitioners : Mr. Malay Dhar  
Ms. Snigdha Gupta

For the O.P. 2 : Mr. Niladri Sekhar Gholsh  
Mr. Biswajit Sarkar

For the State : Mr. Koushik Kundu  
Mr. Dipankar Mahata

Heard on : 13.08.2025

Judgment on : 13.08.2025

**Jay Sengupta, J.:**

This is an application praying for quashing of GR Case No. 798 of 2022 presently pending before the learned CJM, Howrah, arising out of Dasnagar P.S. Case No. 15 dated 29.01.2022 under Sections 498A, 406, 323, 506 and 34 of the Indian Penal Code on the ground of settlement and compromise.

Report on behalf of the State containing a subsequent letter written by the de-facto complainant and a subsequent statement of the

de-facto complainant recorded by the police, as filed in Court, is taken on record.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the accused petitioners submits as follows. During pendency of the proceeding, at the intervention of common friends and family members the accused petitioners and the de-facto complainant/alleged victim have settled the disputes that had led to the initiation of the impugned proceeding. As such, the impugned proceeding may be quashed on the ground of compromise.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the de-facto complainant supports such contentions of the petitioners and submits that a settlement has indeed arrived at between the private parties.

Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the State relies on the case diary and the report and submits as follows. There is no injury report available in the case diary. There is a medical report, which shows history of palpitation and insomnia. The State would not come in the way if a settlement arrived at between the private parties.

It appears that settlement and compromise has indeed been arrived at between the private parties about the disputes that had led to the initiation of the impugned proceeding.

In view of the same and in the interest of justice, the impugned proceeding is quashed on the ground of settlement.

CRAN 1 of 2025 also stands disposed of accordingly.

Urgent Photostat certified copy of this judgment be supplied to the parties, if applied for, as early as possible.

**(Jay Sengupta, J.)**

**tbsr/27**