

ASR 28.
Ct. no. 24.
02.04.2025

WPA 4310 of 2025

Sarifa Bibi
Vs.
State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Muhammad Obaid
Mr. Chayan Debnath

.....For the appellant

Affidavit of service on behalf of the petitioner be taken on record.

Despite service none appears on behalf of the State respondent.

The present petitioner applied for licence of FPS in pursuance to vacancy notification no. 1130/SCF&S/BST/MR/2022 dated 16.09.2022.

Her application was accepted.

Necessary inspection was done but she could not inform the result of the procedure. Accordingly, she approaches this court vide writ petition no. WPA 16144 of 2023.

A Co-ordinate Bench of this court vide its order dated 24th July, 2023 disposed of the writ petition directing the concerned authority to conclude the selection process and inform the result of the petitioner by uploading the same in the official web.site.

It is the contention of the petitioner that the petitioner has checked the portal but no result has

been published in the said portal. It is the fair contention of the petitioner that during the course of filing of the instant writ petition one notice of personal hearing was served upon the petitioner on 7th March, 2025 directing her to attend the office of ADM on 28th of March 2025.

She attended the meeting but till today no result has been published by the authority concerned. She approaches this court with a prayer so that the authority concerned may conclude proceeding for appointment of FPS in respect of the impugned vacancy notification. Having heard learned counsel for the parties, it appears that the vacancy notification was published on 16th September, 2022, since then it is pending.

From the submission of the learned counsel for the petitioner it appears that the authority concerned has taken steps according to the provision of control order for selection of suitable candidate but the same procedure getting delayed for more than two years.

Considering the situation it appears to this court justified to pass a necessary direction upon the concerned authority to conclude the procedure of appointment as early as possible.

Under the above observation, the instant writ petition is disposed of with a direction to the concerned respondent authority to conclude the procedure for fill

up the vacancy of impugned notification as early as possible, more preferably within 6 weeks from the date of communication of this order.

As the respondent authority is not represented, the petitioner is directed to communicate the order of this court to the authority concerned within a week.

Since no affidavits are exchanged, the allegation made in the writ petitioner shall be deemed to have been not admitted.

The writ petition is disposed of.

[Subhendu Samanta, J]