

April 04, 2025

50 ARDR

(Rejected)

CRM(DB) 681 of 2025

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with **Duttapukur** Police Station Case No. 627 of 2022 dated 27/06/2022 under Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code and Sections 25/27 of the Arms Ac.

And

In Re : Manojit Das @ Munna

... Petitioner.

Adv. Bibason Bhattacharjee,
Adv. Anindya Ghosh,

... for the petitioner.

Adv. Achin Jana (through VC),
Adv. Prosenjit Ghosh,
Adv. Chetna Rustagi,

...for the defacto complainant.

Adv. Debasish Roy, Ld. PP,
Adv. Arijit Ganguly,
Adv. Koushik Kundu,

... for the State.

The defacto complainant is represented by learned counsel appointed by the Legal Services Authority, High Court, Calcutta.

The petitioner renews his prayer for bail. His prayer was turned down by this Court on 3rd November, 2022.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the involvement of the petitioner in the alleged offence has not transpired from the evidence on record. There is remote possibility of conclusion of trial in near future. Out of twenty-eight witnesses, only five witnesses have been examined. The petitioner prays for bail.

Vehemently opposing the prayer, learned counsels for the State as well as the defacto complainant submit that sufficient incriminating material has transpired against the petitioner during investigation. A fire arm has been seized from the possession of the

petitioner and upon examination of the fired bullets recovered from the place of occurrence, it is found that one of the bullets was fired from the fire arm recovered from the petitioner. Evidence of record suggests involvement of the petitioner in the offence.

Upon consideration of the material on record which suggests involvement of the petitioner in the alleged offence as well as gravity of the offence, I am not inclined to enlarge the petitioner on bail at this stage.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail is rejected.

However, considering the period of detention of the petitioner, learned trial Court is directed to take the proceeding to its logical conclusion as expeditiously as possible without granting unnecessary adjournment to either of the parties, in accordance with law.

Case Diary be returned.

The application for bail is disposed of.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)