

M/L 29
03.03.2025
Bpg.
ct.no.35

W.P.A.3818 of 2025

**Sarmila Mondal
Versus
State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Ranjan Kali
Ms. Mitul Chakraborty
Ms. Payel Nath.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Vimal Kumar Shahi
Mrs. Kakali Naskar.
...for the State-respondents.

Mr. Rafikul Islam
Ms. Manika Sarkar.
...for the respondent no.5.

Ms. Soni Ojha.
...for the respondent no.6.

Affidavit of service filed by the petitioner be
kept with the record.

Petitioner submits that her complaint with
the police authorities did not yield any result.

State has submitted a report prepared by the
Inspector-in-Charge, Haldia Police Station wherein it
reflects that the police station did not receive any
information from the petitioner. In fact, in spite of the
same, the petitioner was offered by the concerned
police station after receiving instructions to submit a
complaint for registration of a case. However, till date
no written information has been furnished to the
police station.

Learned advocate for the respondent no.5 is present and submits that the writ petition is not maintainable and the accusations which have been made are denied by the respondents.

Having considered the report of the police authorities, I direct that in case the petitioner approaches the police authorities, it would be discretion of the police authorities to act in the mode and manner as is reflected in the report submitted before this Court.

With the aforesaid observations, WPA 3818 of 2025 is disposed of.

There will be no order as to costs.

Report submitted by the State be kept with the record.

A copy of the report be handed over to the learned advocate appearing for the petitioner.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)