

04.04.2025

Item No.31

Ct.No.34

rc.

Reject

C.R.M. (DB) 636 of 2025

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure read with Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Santiniketan Police Station Case No. 274 of 2024 dated 03.11.2024 under Sections 103(1)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In Re : **(i) Krishna Dalui**
 (ii) Bablu Halder

... Petitioners

Mr. Arindam Jana
Mr. Yuvraj Chatterjee
Mr. Saunak Mondal

... for the Petitioner

Mr. Bitasok Banerjee
Mrs. Rituparna Saha

... For the State.

The petitioners are in custody for about 150 days.

Learned counsel for the petitioners submits that the allegation against the petitioners is general and omnibus. Charge sheet has been submitted. The may be granted bail.

Opposing the prayer, learned counsel for the State submits that the petitioners have been named as assailants by witnesses in the statement recorded under Sections 161/164 of the Code of Criminal Procedure. Two of the offending weapons have been recovered from the possession of one of the petitioners, Bablu Halder.

I have considered the material on record. Involvement of the petitioners in the alleged offence transpires from the

statement of the witnesses. Two of the offending weapons have been recovered from one of the petitioners, Bablu Halder.

Considering the material available on record as well as gravity of offence, the prayer for bail is rejected at this stage.

Accordingly, the prayer for bail of the petitioners is rejected at this stage.

The application is disposed of.

Case Diary is returned.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)