

D/L39

18.02.2025
Rohit
ct.no.28

C.R.M. (A) 547 of 2025

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Karimpur Police Station Case No. 225 of 2024 dated 30.12.2024 under Sections 329(2)/126(2)/118(2)/76/351(2)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In Re: **Nirmal Sardar**

...Petitioner

Mr. Amanul Islam
Mr. Sourav Mukherjee

...for the petitioner

Mr. S.S. Imam
Mr. Soumya Basu Roy Chowdhuri

...for the State

1. Heard learned Advocates for the parties.
2. Petitioner submits that there was a family dispute.
There is delay in lodging First Information Report.
Co-accused have been granted anticipatory bail.
He prays for similar relief.
3. Learned Lawyer for the State submits petitioner is the principal accused.
4. We have considered the materials on record.
Statement of witness shows petitioner hit the victim with iron rod on the leg. As a result he suffered fracture and was hospitalized. In view of the principal role played by the petitioner in the assault causing grievous injury, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail.

5. Accordingly, the application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

(Gaurang Kanth, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)