

D/L 162  
25.02.2025  
Bpg.  
ct.no.35

**W.P.A.3426 of 2025**

**Kashmira Begam  
Versus  
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Sourov Mondal  
Mr. Abhirup Halder  
Mr. Rony Mondal.  
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Ashim Kumar Ganguly  
Mr. Tarak Karan.  
...for the State-respondents.

Affidavit-of-service filed by the petitioner be  
kept with the record.

Petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that in  
spite of informing the Officer-in-Charge, Rajapur  
Police Station on 05.02.2025 in respect of the  
atrocities inflicted by the private respondents  
particularly with regard to women folk of the village,  
no action has been taken by the police authorities  
and the individuals particularly the women folk of the  
village are facing insecurity to reside at the village and  
for carrying out their day-to-day activities within the  
specified locality. As the information to the police  
authorities did not yield any result, petitioner has  
been forced to approach this Court for appropriate  
reliefs.

Learned advocate for the State has submitted a report. Report reflects that Rajapur Police Station Case No.348 of 2024 was registered for investigation under the relevant provisions of law and the police authorities on conclusion of investigation has submitted charge-sheet under the relevant provisions of law. Police authorities have also on the complaint drawn up proceedings under Section 126 of the BNSS for maintaining peace and tranquility.

I have considered the letter of complaint which is the genesis of Rajapur Police Station Case No.348 of 2024 as also the information furnished by the petitioner to the Officer-in-Charge, Rajapur Police Station. The petitioner's son and other acquaintances have been implicated as accused in the said case. Petitioner has complained on a different set of accusations particularly for protection of the women folk of the village who have been subjected to abuse, sexual favours and other related allegations which have been levelled against the private respondents.

In view of the nature of the accusations made, petitioner would approach the jurisdictional court. The jurisdictional court would assess as to whether any cognizable offence has been made out, ascertain as to whether a case for investigation is made out and thereafter exercise his power under the

relevant provisions of law passing necessary directions upon the police authorities.

In the meantime, the police authorities would assess whether any tension is prevailing at the ground reality and take steps in order to prevent any further untoward incident which may result because of the strained relationship existing between the petitioner and the private respondents. Needless to state that the same as a part of the duty the police authorities would take step without being directed by any court of law.

With the aforesaid observations, WPA 3426 of 2025 is disposed of.

There will be no order as to costs.

Report submitted by the State be kept with the record.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

***(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)***