

15.05.2025
Item no. 19.
Court No.19.
AB

W.P.A. 3373 of 2025

**Amritvani Exim Private Limited & Anr.
Vs
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Soumya Majumdar, Sr. Adv,
Mr. Farhan Ghaffar,
Mr. Kallol Saha,
Mr. Akash Ghosh,
Ms. Sweta Bhattafor the Petitioners.

Mr. Ayan Banerjee,
Mr. Amrit Lal Chatterjee,
Mr. A. Chowdhuryfor the State.

Md. T. M. Siddiqui, Sr. Adv,
Mr. S. Adakfor the WBIDC.

1. The affidavit of service filed in Court today be taken on record.
2. The writ petitioners, Respondent/State and respondent nos.2 and 3 are represented by their respective advocates.
3. After hearing learned advocates for the contending parties, it appears to this Court that it is the grievance of the writ petitioners that the writ petitioners' application for converting leasehold land to freehold land has not been considered favourably by the respondent no.2. However, no reason has been assigned by the respondent no.2 for rejection of the request made by the writ petitioners.
4. At the time of hearing, learned advocate for the writ petitioners draws attention of this Court to

pages 43 to 45 of the instant writ petition, being copies of two representations dated 29.02.2024 and 19.12.2024, as written by the writ petitioners, addressed to the respondent no.3 authority. It is submitted that despite submission of two representations, the respondent no.3 took no action over such representations and practically sat tight over the matter.

5. On careful consideration of the entire materials as placed before this Court and after hearing the learned advocates for the contending parties, this Court while disposing the instant writ petition directs the respondent no.3 to consider the representation dated 19.12.2024 as submitted on behalf of the writ petitioners in accordance with law after giving an opportunity of hearing to the authorized representative of the writ petitioner no.1 company and shall pass a reasoned order and thereafter communicate the same to the writ petitioner no.1 company preferably by email, if the email details of the writ petitioners are provided to him at the time of hearing.
6. The entire exercise as indicated in the foregoing paragraph is to be completed within 60 working days from the date of communication of the server copy of this order.

7. Liberty is given to the learned advocate on record of the writ petitioners to communicate the server copy of this order to the respondent no.3. The respondent no.3 is directed to act on the server copy of the order.
8. Before parting with, it is, however, made clear that this Court has not gone into the merit of the instant writ petition.
9. It is further made clear that since affidavits have not been called for, the allegations as made in the instant writ petition are deemed to have been denied.
10. With the aforesaid observation, the instant writ petition is disposed of.
11. Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied expeditiously after compliance with all the necessary formalities.

(Partha Sarathi Sen, J.)