

CRM(A) 519 of 2025

In Re : An application for anticipatory bail under section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023, in connection with Ranitala P.S. Case No.785/2024 dated 09.10.2024 under Sections 329(4)/115(2)/117(2)/118(2)/109/351(2)/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In the matter of: Nusaidul Sk.

.... Petitioner

Mr. Tapodip Gupta, Adv.

.....For the Petitioner

Mr. Saibal Bapuli, A.P.P.

Mrs. Manasi Roy, Adv.

.....For the State

- 1.** Heard the learned advocates for the parties.
- 2.** Petitioner submits that there is a land dispute between the parties. FIR was lodged one month after the incident. He, therefore, prays for anticipatory bail.
- 3.** Learned advocate for the State submits that the victim suffered fracture.
- 4.** We have considered the materials on record and the statements of the victim, which would go to show that the petitioner assaulted the victim with an iron rod on his hand resulting in fracture. Medical papers corroborate this fact. Under such circumstances, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
- 5.** Hence, the application for anticipatory bail is rejected.

(Partha Sarathi Sen, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)