

C.R.M. (DB) 628 of 2025

In Re : An application for bail under Section 483 of BNSS, 2023 filed in connection with Magrahat Police Station Case No. 133 of 2022 dated 09.04.2022 under Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code read with Section 25/27 of the Arms Act.

And

In Re : **Jane Alam Molla** ... Petitioner.

Mr. Kallol Mondal
Mr. Krishan Ray
Mr. Souvik Das
Mr. Anamitra Banerjee
Mr. Safiqul Islam
Mr. Akbar Laskar ... for the petitioner.

Mr. Rudradipta Nandy
Mr. Bibaswan Bhattacharya
Mr. S. Talukdar ... for the State.

Heard learned counsels for the parties.

The bail prayer of the petitioner was turned down on three earlier occasions. The petitioner renews his prayer for bail solely on the ground of delay in trial.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for about 3 years. 42 witnesses have been cited in the charge sheet, out of whom only 12 witnesses have been examined.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer. The prosecution proposes to examine 10/12 more witnesses and trial can be concluded expeditiously in the event the petitioner co operates in trial.

I have considered the material on record. In view of the gravity of the offence and material available on record, the prayer for bail is rejected at this stage.

The learned trial Court is directed to take the proceeding to its logical conclusion as expeditiously as possible without granting any unnecessary adjournment to either of the parties, in accordance with law.

The application for bail is thus disposed of.

Case Diary be returned.

On prayer of the parties liberty is granted to communicate the gist of the order to the learned trial Court at once.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)