

April 03, 2025

31 ARDR

(Rejected)

CRM(DB) 550 of 2025

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with **Entally** Police Station Case No. 344 dated 21/11/2022 under Sections 302/34 of the Indian Penal Code.

And

In Re : Munna Ray @ Munna Roy @ Munna Rai.

... Petitioner.

Adv. Inzamam Ul Haque,
Adv. Swakshan Kumar Mondal,

... for the petitioner.

Adv. Bitashok Banerjee,
Adv. Sana Naaz,

... for the State.

The allegation is of murder. The petitioner is in custody for more than two years.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner seeks mercy of the Court since trial will take some time to be concluded. The presence of the petitioner is required in his house for taking care of his wife and child.

Learned counsel for the State opposes the prayer and submits that involvement of the petitioner in the alleged offence is evident from the material available in the Case Diary. The petitioner was also responsible for delay in trial.

Upon consideration of the material available before me, this Court is inclined to hold that the allegation is extremely serious in nature and sufficient incriminating material has transpired against the petitioner *prima facie* suggesting his involvement in the offence alleged.

Upon such consideration, the prayer for bail is rejected at this stage.

The learned trial Court is directed to take the proceeding to its logical conclusion as expeditiously as the business of the Court would permit, without granting any unnecessary adjournment to either of the parties, in accordance with law.

The application for bail is disposed of.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)