

April 03, 2025

29 ARDR

(Rejected)

CRM(DB) 547 of 2025

In Re : An Application for bail under Section 483 of Bharatiya
Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 filed in connection with **Karimpur**
Police Station Case No. 157 of 2024 dated 27/8/2024 under
Sections 85/80/103/3(5) of the Bharatiya Nyaya Sanhita, 2023.

And

In Re : Suman Das.

... Petitioner.

Adv. Arnab Chatterjee,
Adv. Anisur Rahaman,

... for the petitioner.

Adv. Siladitya Banerjee,
Adv. Aritra Bhattacharya,

... for the State.

Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner
is the husband of the victim and is in custody for about 110 days.
Charge sheet has been submitted. There is no *prima facie* material
against him in respect of the offences alleged.

Learned counsel for the State produces the Case Diary and
opposes the prayer. Learned counsel submits that sufficient
incriminating material has transpired against the petitioner from the
statement of witnesses recorded under Section 164/161 of the Code
of Criminal Procedure. Co-accused who are parents of the petitioner
are still absconding after their prayer for anticipatory bail was
turned down.

Upon consideration of the material available in the Case Diary
as well extent of complicity of the petitioner in the offences alleged,
prayer for bail is rejected at this stage.

The application for bail is disposed of.

Case Diary be returned.

Urgent certified website copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Suvra Ghosh, J.)