

D/L 19
21.02.2025
Bpg.
ct.no.35

W.P.A.3174 of 2025

**Santosh Kumar Bhakat
Versus
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Kingsuk Mondal.
...for the petitioner.

Mr. Suman Ghosh
Mr. Pradipta Siddhanta.
...for the State-respondents.

Affidavit-of-service filed by the petitioner be
kept with the record.

Petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that he is
unable to construct the boundary wall as he is
resisted by the private respondent nos.9 to 22.

State has submitted a report. Report reflects
that there was a dispute and, as such, proceedings
have been drawn up under Sections 126/135(3) of the
BNSS.

I have considered the nature of the
representation made to the police authorities and is of
the opinion that the police authorities do not have *suo*
motu power to render such assistance. Further, if the
petitioner approaches the B.L. & L.R.O. regarding the
demarcation of the property, the concerned B.L &
L.R.O. would inform both the petitioner and the
private respondents and if a decision is arrived at, the

B.L. & L.R.O. will pass necessary directions upon the police authorities. The police authorities would respect, obey and implement the order of the B.L. & L.R.O. until and unless the same is modified, varied and/or set aside by a higher forum or the authority.

With the aforesaid observations, WPA 3174 of 2025 is disposed of.

There will be no order as to costs.

Report submitted by the State be kept with the record.

A copy of the report be handed over to the learned advocate appearing for the petitioner.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copies of this order, if applied for, be given to the parties upon compliance of all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)