

CRR 488 of 2022

**Sri Ravikant Shah
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Anr.**

Mr. Kuntal Banerjee

... ... for the O. P. No.2

Mr. Partha Pratim Das

... ... for the State

1. Learned counsel for the opposite party no.2 submits that the petitioner is not taking step in spite of filing of a petition praying for expeditious disposal. However, that relevant CRAN has already been disposed of by this Court on 16.06.2025 directing the parties to appear today for hearing of the matter. But unfortunately the petitioner does not turn up nor his learned counsel. The learned advocate for the opposite party no.2 has further submitted that by filing the instant revisional application the petitioner has successfully avoided the direction of the learned Trial Court to provide accommodation or cost of accommodation to the opposite party no.2. He prays for dismissal of the present revisional application as the petitioner is not interested in the proceeding with the instant matter.
2. The learned counsel for the State has also submitted that in spite of direction of this Court the petitioner does not appear nor pursue with the instant proceeding. The revisional application filed by him is liable to be dismissed for default.

3. I have considered the contentions of the parties it appears that the petitioner has been given sufficient opportunity to proceed with the instant revisional application but in vain.
4. Accordingly, the instant revisional application is thus dismissed for default.

(Apurba Sinha Ray, J.)