

Court No. 2
14.02.2025
(Item No. 53)
(AB)

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
Constitutional Writ Jurisdiction
Appellate Side
W.P.A. 2996 of 2025

Sk. Moslem & Ors.
VS
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Mukteswar Maity
Ms. Nupur Chaudhuri
.... For the petitioners
Mr. Himadri Sikhar Chakraborty
Ms. Susnita Saha
.... For the State

Affidavit-of-service, filed in Court today, is taken on record.

Ms. Nupur Chaudhuri, learned advocate led by Mr. Mukteswar Maity, learned advocate appears for the petitioners.

Mr. Himadri Sikhar Chakraborty, learned advocate with Ms. Susnita Saha, learned advocate appear for the State.

The grievance of the petitioners is that a representation which has been filed as far back as on September 30, 2024 has been kept pending and no decision has yet been taken by the respondent No. 6.

Mr. Himadri Sikhar Chakraborty, leaned advocate appearing for the State submits that he has no objection if the respondent No. 6 is directed to consider and dispose of the representation of the

petitioners being **annexure P-2** at **page 28** to the writ petition.

In view of the submissions by the petitioners the respondent No. 6 is directed to consider and dispose of the representation of the petitioners being **annexure P-2** at **page 28** to the writ petition dated **September 30, 2024** within **six weeks** from date.

It is made clear that nothing in this order will be binding on the respondent No. 6 on the merits of the case which the respondent o. 6 is at liberty to decide in accordance with law.

The respondent No. 6 will communicate the reasoned decision to the petitioners within two weeks from taking such decision.

With the above observations and directions, this writ petition, **WPA 2996 of 2025** stands **disposed of**, without any order as to costs.

Photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be furnished expeditiously.

(Aniruddha Roy, J.)