

17.03.2025
Court No. 35
Item No.135
Rakib

W.P.A. 2774 of 2023

**Shreya Sil (Tarafter).
VS
The State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Shibaji Kr. Das,
Mr. Dipendu Sarkar,
Ms. Deblina De.
... for the Petitioner.
Ms. Barnali Gupta.
... for the State.

Affidavit-of-service filed by the petitioner be kept
with the record.

Petitioner is aggrieved by the fact that her
representation with the Chakdah Police Station was not
considered. There is a matrimonial discord pursuant to
which number of cases have been registered because of
sufferance of the petitioner as has been submitted by Mr.
Das, learned advocate appearing for the petitioner.

As none appears on behalf of the State, Ms.
Barnali Gupta, learned advocate who ordinarily appears
on behalf of the State is directed to represent the State.
Her appointment may be regularized by the concerned
authorities.

In view of the fact that two criminal cases are
already pending and the petitioner at the relevant point of
time was threatened, I am of the view that the petitioner
would in respect of the accusations which have been
advanced on October, 2022 prefer an appropriate
application before the Jurisdictional Court, the

Jurisdictional Court would pass necessary directions in case it is satisfied that any cognizable offence is made out. So far as the petitioner claiming that she has been threatened, if an application is made under the Witness Protection Scheme, 2018, learned Court in view of the case would call for a Threat Perception Report and pass necessary directions under the said Scheme.

With the aforesaid observations WPA 2774 of 2023 is disposed of.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)