

10.6.2024
ct.25, sls. 1-5
sk

WPA 38224 of 2013

In

CAN 1 of 2021

With

CAN 2 of 2021

Sudip Mondal-vs-State of West Bengal & Ors..

Mr. Malay Singh,
Mr. Neelam Singh ...for the State in WPA 38224 of 2013,
WPA 29037 of 2014.

Mr. Malay Singh,
Mrs. Tapati Samantafor the State in WPA 339 of 2014.

Dr. Sutanu Kr. Patra,
Ms. Supriya Dubey,
Mr. Sunit Roy,
Mrs. Debalina Chakraborty, for the .WBCSSC.

The affidavit-in-opposition in CAN 2 of 2021 be taken on record.

CAN 2 of 2021 is the restoration application filed by the applicant/writ petitioner, after Writ Petition No. WPA 38224 of 2013 has been dismissed by the Court, vide order dated 3.9.2014. No one is appearing for the writ petitioner/applicant, when the matter is called on today.

Dr. Sutanu Kumar Patra is representing the WBCSSC. He raises objection to the prayer of the applicant, due to the delay in filing the restoration application.

Since no one is appearing for the applicant, CAN 2 of 2021 is dismissed for default.

With
WPA 11705 of 2014
In

CAN 1 of 2021
With
CAN 2 of 2021
Rubia Khatun Vs. State of West Bengal & Ors.

The affidavit-in-opposition in CAN 2 of 2021 be taken on record.

CAN 2 of 2021 is the restoration application filed by the applicant/writ petitioner, after Writ Petition No. WPA 11705 of 2014 has been dismissed by the Court, vide order dated 6.5.2014. No one is appearing for the writ petitioner/applicant, when the matter is called on today.

Dr. Sutanu Kumar Patra is representing the WBCSSC. He raises objection to the prayer of the applicant, due to the delay in filing the restoration application.

Since no one is appearing the applicant CAN 2 of 2021 is dismissed for default.

with
WPA 28249 of 2014
In
CAN 1 of 2021
With
CAN 2 of 2021
Md Safiqul Haque Vs. State of West Bengal & Ors.

The affidavit-in-opposition in CAN 2 of 2021 be taken on record.

CAN 2 of 2021 is the restoration application filed by the applicant/writ petitioner, after Writ Petition No.

28249 of 2014 has been dismissed by the Court, vide order dated 7.4.2016. No one is appearing for the writ petitioner/applicant, when the matter is called on today.

Dr. Sutanu Kumar Patra is representing the WBCSSC. He raises objection to the prayer of the applicant, due to the delay in filing the restoration application.

Since no one is appearing for the applicant, CAN 2 of 2021 is dismissed for default.

With
WPA 29037 of 2014

In
CAN 1 of 2021

With
CAN 2 of 2021

Moumita Maity Vs. The W.B School Service Commission & Ors.

The affidavit-in-opposition in CAN 2 of 2021 be taken on record.

CAN 2 of 2021 is the restoration application filed by the applicant/writ petitioner, after Writ Petition No. WPA 29037 of 2014 has been dismissed by the Court, vide order dated 28.6.2016. No one is appearing for the writ petitioner/applicant, when the matter is called on today.

Dr. Sutanu Kumar Patra is representing the WBCSSC. He raises objection to the prayer of the applicant, due to the delay in filing the restoration application.

Since no one is appearing the applicant, CAN 2 of 2021 is dismissed for default.

with
WPA 339 of 2014
In
CAN 1 of 2021
With
CAN 2 of 2021
Nityananda Kabiraj Vs. State of West Bengal & Ors.

The affidavit-in-opposition in CAN 2 of 2021 be taken on record.

The CAN 2 of 2021 is the restoration application filed by the applicant/writ petitioner, after writ petition no. 339 of 2014 has been dismissed by the Court, vide order dated 3.8.2017. No one is appearing for the writ petitioner/applicant, when the matter is called on today.

Dr. Sutanu Kumar Patra is representing the WBCSSC. He raises objection to the prayer of the applicant, due to the delay in filing the restoration application.

Since no one is appearing for the applicant CAN 2 of 2021 is dismissed for default.

(Rai Chattopadhyay, J.)

