

07.02.2025
31.
Ct No. 28
SG
[Rejected]

C. R. M. (A) 383 of 2025

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Manikchak Police Station Case No. 561/2024 dated 04.08.2024 under Sections 126(2)/115(2)/117(2)/109/3(5) of BNS.

And

In Re: **Bangshilal Mandal and Anr.**

..... Petitioners

Mr. Saryati Datta,
Mr. Chitrak Biswas.

.....for the petitioners

Mr. Shiladitya Banerjee,
Ms. Dona Sanyal.

.....for the State

Ms. Sujata Das.

...for the de facto complainant

1. Petitioners submit no overt act is attributed to them. They have been falsely implicated. Accordingly, they pray for anticipatory bail.
2. Learned Advocate for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.
3. Learned Advocate for the *de facto* complainant submits petitioners are associates of one Nimai Chowdhury, a habitual criminal. They have assaulted him brutally resulting in serious injuries in eye resulting in hospitalization.
4. We have considered the materials on record. Petitioners and others including one Nimai Chowdhury (a habitual criminal) had brutally assaulted the victim with weapons. He suffered severe injuries on head as well as right eye. He was hospitalised.

5. Keeping in mind the aforesaid incriminating materials and gravity of offence, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioners.

6. Application for anticipatory bail is, thus, rejected.

(Subhendu Samanta, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)