

D/L 12
12.02.2025
Kausik
ct.no.35

W.P.A. 2377 of 2024

**Anita Das
Versus
State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Ms. Sreetama Neogi
Ms. Riya Ballav

...for the private respondent nos. 4 & 5.

Mr. Ziaul Haque

...for the state.

None appears for the petitioner.

Learned advocate for the respondent nos. 4
and 5 is present.

As none appears on behalf of the State, Mr.
Ziaul Haque, learned advocate who ordinarily appears
on behalf of the state is directed to represent the
State. His appearance may be regularized by the
concerned authorities.

The writ petition appeared on 02.12.2024
when none appeared on behalf of the petitioner.

As a matter of last chance another
opportunity was granted. Today when the writ
petition has been called none represented the
petitioner.

Grievance of the petitioner relates to her
representation not being considered which was

referred to the Officer-in-Charge, Jaynagar Police Station as well as SP, Baruipur.

The accusations are basically against the husband, mother-in-law and the paramour of the husband and their accusations of brutal assault. In view of the communication which was made to the police authorities dates back to January, 2024. If there are repeat incidents of the same nature and a fresh application is filed before the authorities, the police authorities would consider the representation in accordance with law.

Respondents claim innocence in respect of the accusation.

Be that as it may, if a fresh representation is filed, police authorities would consider the same in accordance with law.

With the aforesaid observations WPA 2377 of 2024 is disposed of.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)