

06.02.2025
78
as
[REJECTED]

C. R. M. (A) 340 of 2025

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Suri Police Station Case No.472 of 2024 dated 07.10.2024 under Sections 316(2)/318(4)/115(2)/109(1)/351(2)/3(5) of BNS, 2023.

In Re: ***Pappu Sk. @ Md. Parvez Ali @ Pappu Sk.***
... .. Petitioner

Mr. Sryati Datta,
Mr. Sanjib Kr. Dan,
Mr. Chitrak Biswas.
... .. for the petitioner

Mr. Madhusudan Sur, Ld. A.P.P.,
Mr. Prakesh Mishra.
... .. for the State

1. Petitioner contends vehicle had been given to him on hire. He has been falsely implicated. Accordingly, he prays for anticipatory bail.
2. Learned Advocate for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.
3. We have considered the materials on record. De-facto complainant had handed over the vehicle to the petitioner. The vehicle has been stolen. Custodial interrogation of the petitioner for recovery of the vehicle is necessary.
4. Hence, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
5. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Subhendu Samanta, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)