

06.02.2025
75
as
[REJECTED]

C. R. M. (A) 337 of 2025

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Raghunathganj Police Station Case No.998 of 2024 dated 14.08.2024 under Sections 85/80(2) of BNS, 2023 read with Section 4 of Dowry Prohibition Act.

In Re: **Sunita Das.**

... .. Petitioner

Mr. Mazahar Hossain Chowdhury,
Ms. Chandrima Debnath.

... .. for the petitioner

Mr. Iqbal Kabir,
Mr. Debanshu Ghorai.

... .. for the State

1. Petitioner is the mother-in-law of the victim lady. It is contended she has been falsely implicated. Accordingly, she prays for anticipatory bail.
2. Learned Advocate for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.
3. We have considered the materials on record. Statements of witnesses show the husband as well as in-laws including the petitioner had subjected the victim to torture over demand of dowry. Victim committed suicide.
4. In view of the aforesaid facts, we are not inclined to grant anticipatory bail to the petitioner.
5. Accordingly, the prayer for anticipatory bail of the petitioner is rejected.

(Subhendu Samanta, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)

