

05.02.2025

Court No. 35

Item No.70

Rakib

W.P.A. 1980 of 2025

Md. Jalil @ Jung

VS

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Ms. Sikta Roy.

... for the Petitioner.

Mr. K. J. Yusuf. Ld. AGP.

... for the State

Since the writ petition has been preferred against the judicial order, I am of the view that the present writ petition under Article 226 of the Constitution of India is not maintainable.

Petitioner will approach the Revisional or Appellate Court of the original Court which rejected the order since the statute provides for provision of revision or an appeal.

As none appears on behalf of the State Mr. K. J. Yusuf, learned advocate who ordinarily appears for the State is directed to appear with a junior of his choice. His appointment may be regularized by the concerned authorities.

Accordingly, WPA 1980 of 2025 is disposed of.

All concerned parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official *website* of this Court.

Urgent photostat certified copy of this order, if applied for, be supplied to the parties upon compliance with all requisite formalities.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)