

19.08.2025
Item No.29
Ct. No. 446
RP

C.R.R. 316 of 2025
XXXX
Vs.
The State of West Bengal & Anr.

Mr. Manas Kumar Das
Mr. Asraf Mondal
Mr. Tushar Sil

....For Petitioner

1. This is an application under Section 442 read with Section 528 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita filed by the petitioner praying for a direction upon the learned trial Court for expeditious disposal of the C/Special Case No.96 of 2021 arising out of Jalangi Police Station Case No.261 of 2021 under Section 4/6 of the POCSO Act, 2012.
2. It is submitted on behalf of the learned advocate representing the petitioner that after completion of the investigation charge sheet has been submitted long back in the year 2021 against the sole accused under Section 6 of POCSO Act, being the opposite party no.2 herein and the learned Court has already taken cognizance of the same directed supply of copy of the charge sheet to the accused but no positive step has been taken to complete the trial by the learned Court. It is further submitted that since the opposite party has been released on

bail he is regularly threatening the petitioner and his family members to withdraw the said case instituted against him. He also threatened the victim to face dire consequences.

3. Heard the submission and perused the record and the certified copy of the order dated 26th November, 2024 filed before this Court which primarily reveals that the date was fixed in the month of March 2025 for appearance and evidence and WWA has been issued against Dr. Taranum Imtiaj and the next date is fixed on 14th November, 2025. Be that as it may, in view of aspersion made against the opposite party no.2 and considering long pendency of the matter and gravity of the offence the learned trial Court is directed to take all possible steps and make all endeavours to dispose of the proceeding pending before the learned Court as expeditiously as possible without granting unnecessary adjournment to either of the parties.
4. It is made clear that since this revisional application is heard in absence of the opposite party no.2 herein, this Court has not entered into the merits of the case and all points are kept open.
5. This revisional application is, accordingly, disposed of.

6. Urgent Photostat copy of this order, if applied for, be delivered to the learned advocate for the parties, upon compliance of all formalities.

(Chaitali Chatterjee (Das), J.)