

Court No.
28
Item 88
ssi

C.R.R. 298 of 2025

21.05.
2025

In the matter of: **Rakibul Sk. @ Md. Abdul Rakib**

Mr. Debapriya Samanta
Mr. Suhotro Palit
...for the petitioner
Md. Jannatul Firdous
...for the opposite party. no.2

Ms. Rituparna Ghosh
Mr. Karan Bapuli
...for the State

Heard the learned counsels for the parties.

Perused the case diary.

In view of the incriminating materials available in the case diary including the statement of the victim recorded before the learned Magistrate which clearly states that the present petitioner and another co-accused raped the victim, recorded it in the mobile phone and made it viral over social media, I do not think that this is a fit case for quashing of proceeding.

Accordingly, the revisional application is dismissed.

(Jay Sengupta, J.)