

Sl.No. 51 06.02.2025
Court No. 35
G.S.Das

WPA 2041 of 2025

Indralal Pramanik
-Vs-
The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Ms. Pampa Dey (Dhabal)
Mr. Biswarup Chatterjee
Ms. Sangita Banerjee
... for the petitioner(s)
Mr. Rajarshi Basu
Mr. S. T. Mina
... for the State-respondent(s)
Mr. A. Chowdhury
... for the respondent no.6
Mr. Apalak Basu
Ms. Sanjukta Das
Ms. S. Kar
... for the respondent no. 8
Mr. Areek Das
Mr. Atanu Paul
Mr. A Das
... for the respondent no.7

The petitioner contends that he has been foisted with number of criminal cases and the same is because of the reason that the petitioner resisted the illegal act and activities of the private respondents and, to that extent, has informed the different authorities both of the civil administration and the police administration.

In order to fortify the subject-

matter of the cases, two cases have been referred to by the petitioner which, according to him, has been the foundation of false implication: being Frezerganj Coastal P.S. Case No. 42 of 2024 and Bowbazar P.S. Case No. 234 of 2023. Another case being Frezerganj Coastal P.S. Case No. 86 of 2024 has also been instituted.

State has submitted a report in respect of Frezerganj P.S. Case No. 42 of 2024 the investigating agency has already submitted their charge-sheet before the learned jurisdictional court. In connection with Bowbazar P.S. Case No. 234 of 2023, charge-sheet has also been submitted before the jurisdictional court at Calcutta.

So far as the investigation in respect of the case(s) are concerned, the same is progressing.

Having considered that charge-sheet(s) has already been submitted in

connection with two of the cases and the police authorities have found some substance which is obviously subject to challenge, after consideration of whole of the documents being relied upon by the prosecution, I am of the view that it would be too early to hold regarding the truth and/or falsity of the accusations made in all the FIRs.

Learned advocate for the private respondent nos. 6, 7 and 8 are present. All of them dispute the contentions relating to the issues canvassed by the petitioner, particularly, with regard to the petitioner being falsely implicated in connection with the instant case.

I have considered the report so submitted by the State and on an appreciation of the same, I am of the view that, at this stage, it would not be fit and proper for this court to interfere with the cases so registered.

The petitioner would exhaust the

statutory remedies after receipt of the documents under the relevant provisions of law relied upon by the prosecution and canvass the issues before the jurisdictional court, thereafter, if so advised, would approach this court by invoking the relevant provisions of law.

With the aforesaid observations, WPA 2041 of 2025 is disposed of.

Pending application(s), if any, is also disposed of.

Report so submitted be kept with the record.

Parties to act on a server copy of this order duly collected from the official website of the Hon'ble High Court, Calcutta.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)