

D/L 35
21.01.2025
Kausik
ct.no.35

W.P.A. 937 of 2025

**Mujibar Mallik
Versus
State of West Bengal & Ors.**

Mr. Ziaul Haque
Mr. Himadri Kumar Mahato

...for the petitioner.

Mr. Susovan Sengupta, Sr. Adv.
Mr. Tarak Karan

...for the state.

Affidavit of service so filed be kept with the record.

Petitioner complains that he and his lawyer are being restrained from entering at the Office of the BL & LRO for conducting the hearing.

According to the petitioner the BL & LRO is hearing the issues pursuant to the order passed by the WBLRTT.

Be that as it may, without entering into the merits of the case the main grievance of the petitioner is for participating in the hearing before the BL & LRO, Jangipara, District Hooghly.

Report submitted by the state prima facie reflects that the date is yet to be fixed by the BL & LRO, Jangipara. In case, on the date fixed for hearing, the petitioner is restrained, the petitioner would

inform the Inspector-in-Charge, Jangipara Police Station or any authorized officer of the said police station who would ensure that the petitioner and/or his advocate can enter and conduct the hearing before the BL & LRO, Jangipara, District Hooghly.

In case, cost are to be deposited, petitioner would deposit such cost.

The Inspector-in-Charge, Jangipara Police Station is directed to first assess the ground reality and thereafter take necessary steps in accordance with the directions made above.

With the aforesaid observations WPA 937 of 2025 is disposed of.

Report so submitted be kept with the record.

All parties shall act on the server copy of this order duly downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Tirthankar Ghosh, J.)