

Ml 21.05.2025
2065 Ct.18
rkd

W.P.A. 584 of 2024

Subhas Chandra Paul

-vs-

The State of West Bengal & Ors.

Mr. Banshi Badan Maity

....for the petitioner.

In terms of previous order notice was served upon the learned advocate representing the State respondents and same is taken on taken on record.

In spite of service of notice State respondents are not represented.

The writ petitioner is a retired Clerk, who superannuated on 31st January, 2019. After superannuation of the writ petitioner, Pension Payment Order was issued vide memo dated 19th August, 2019 releasing retiral dues in favour of the petitioner. The writ petitioner claims interest on gratuity due to delayed payment.

Accordingly, the Director of Pension Provident Fund, and Group Insurance, West Bengal and the concerned Treasury Officer are directed to pay interest at the rate of **8%** per annum to the writ petitioner on gratuity from the date following the date of retirement of the petitioner till the date of release of gratuity, within a period of eight weeks from the date of

communication of this order.

Since no affidavit is called for, the allegations contained in the writ petition are deemed not to have been admitted.

With the above observation, the writ petition stands disposed of.

There shall be no order as to costs.

Urgent photostat certified copy of the order, if applied for, be given to the parties, upon usual undertakings.

(Saugata Bhattacharyya, J.)