

55. 27.02.2025
Court
No.29 (Tanmoy)

Rejected

IN THE HIGH COURT AT CALCUTTA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS JURISDICTION

CRM (DB) 116 of 2025

In Re: - An application for bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973 / Section 483 of Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 arising out of Galsi P.S. Case No.433/2024 dated 30.06.2024.

And

In the matter of: - **SUNAYANI GIRI**

...petitioner.

Mr. Achyut Basu
Mr. Debanjan Chatterjee
Mr. Tirtharaj Ghoshal
Ms. Pritha Biswas
Mr. Amritansu Sengupta
Ms. Sulagna Sen

...for the petitioner.

Mr. Ranadeb Sengupta
Mr. Saibal Krishna Dasgupta

...for the State.

Mr. Shounak Mondal
Mr. Sumit Biswas
Ms. Rajashree Bhowmick

...for the *de facto* complainant.

Dictated by Apurba Sinha Ray, J.

1. Learned Counsel for the petitioner submits that the petitioner is in custody for about 240 days. There is no such material which could incriminate the present petitioner. Considering her period of detention and also the fact that there is no chance of an early conclusion of the trial, the petitioner, who is a lady, may be granted bail on any condition that this Court may decide.
2. Learned State Counsel opposes the prayer for bail. According to him, there are sufficient incriminating materials against the present petitioner and other accused. The mobile phone and the

rope used to strangle the victim, were recovered from the possession of the petitioner and further the statements of witnesses recorded under Section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1973, show the complicity of the present petitioner.

3. We have considered the materials on record. It appears that incriminating articles have been recovered from the possession of this petitioner and further the statements of witnesses recorded under Section 164 of the Criminal Procedure Code, 1973, show the complicity of the present petitioner in the commission of the alleged crime.
4. In view of such materials on record, we are not inclined to allow the petitioner's prayer for bail, at this stage. Hence, the prayer for bail is rejected.
5. The application being CRM (DB) 116 of 2025 is accordingly dismissed.

(Apurba Sinha Ray, J.)

(Arijit Banerjee, J.)