

06.01.2025
tkm/ct 28
sl no. 44

C.R.M. (A) 31 of 2025

In Re : An application for anticipatory bail under section 482 of BNSS 2023 in connection with Murshidabad P.S Case no. 207 of 2024 dated 24.3.2024 under sections 341/325/326/307/506/34 IPC and section 24 of the Maintenance and Welfare of Parents and Senior Citizen Act
and

In Re : Taslima Bibi

..... petitioner

Mr. D Samanta
Mr. Samrat Ghosh

..... for the petitioner

Mr. S Bardhan
Ms. Pallavi Priyadarshee

..... for the State

1. Petitioner contends he was not aware of the application for pre-arrest bail which had been turned down earlier by a co-ordinate Bench.
2. Learned lawyer for the State submits the said Bench had considered the materials on record and dismissed the application.
3. We have considered the materials on record. Earlier application had been affirmed by the petitioner's daughter. Though he contends his daughter was not authorized to do so, we find the co-ordinate Bench had considered the materials in the case diary and rejected the prayer for pre-arrest bail.
4. Under such circumstances we find no reason to reconsider the prayer for anticipatory bail.
5. It shall be open to the petitioner to appear before the jurisdictional court and pray for regular bail within seven days from date. In the event he does so, the prayer for regular bail shall be considered independently and in accordance with law.

(Gaurang Kanth, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)