

06.01.2025
36
as
[ALLOWED]

C. R. M. (A) 23 of 2025

In Re: An application for anticipatory bail under Section 482 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Beliaghata Police Station Case No. 176 of 2024 dated 06.11.2024 under Sections 85/316(2)/351(2) of the BNS, 2023

In Re: ***Sri Partha Sikdar.***

... ... Petitioner

Mr. Indranuj Dutta,
Mr. Benazir Sk.

... ... for the Petitioner

Ms. Faria Hossain, Ld. A.P.P.,
Mr. Arabinda Manna.

... ... for the State

Mr. Shounak Mondal.

...for the de-facto complainant

1. Petitioner contends instant case arose out of a matrimonial dispute. Hence, he prays for anticipatory bail.
2. Learned Advocate for the State opposes the prayer for anticipatory bail.
3. Learned Advocate for the de-facto complainant submits petitioner-husband had illegally mortgaged her *stridhan* ornaments.
4. We have considered the materials on record. Marriage took place 17 years ago. Allegations of cruelty are general and omnibus. With regard to mortgages of *stridhan* articles, the said articles have been seized in course of investigation.
5. In the light of the aforesaid facts, we are of the opinion custodial interrogation of the petitioner is not necessary but petitioner requires to co-operate with the investigation.

6. Accordingly, we direct that in the event of arrest, the petitioner viz., **Sri Partha Sikdar** be released on bail upon furnishing a bond of Rs.10,000/-, with two sureties of like amount each, to the satisfaction of the Arresting Officer and also subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 482(2) of the BNSS and on further condition petitioner while on bail shall meet the Investigating Officer once in a week until further orders. He shall appear before the jurisdictional court and pray for regular bail within four weeks from date.

7. The application for anticipatory bail is, thus, disposed of.

(Gaurang Kanth, J.)

(Joymalya Bagchi, J.)