

19.12.2025
Court No.39
DL/Item No.10
[Milan, A.R. (Ct)]

**In the High Court at Calcutta
Civil Appellate Jurisdiction
Appellate Side**

SA 707 of 1968

**SATYA RANJAN DAS & ANR.
VS
SM. SNEHALATA SARKAR**

Mr. Subhendu Bandyopadhyay

....for the LIC of India

This matter on being assigned to this Bench was brought into the list and an order for issuing administrative notice was passed. The Department has taken steps and has issued the administrative notice.

However, the administrative notices issued to the appellant(s) or respondent(s) have mostly come back with the endorsement that either the said appellant(s) or the said respondent(s) is/are dead or has/have left without instructions.

Despite service of administrative notice, neither of the appellants nor any of the respondents except respondent no.8, has appeared before this Court.

In most of the cases, due to non-availability of the address of the concerned advocate, administrative notice could not be issued on them. On perusal of the records,

it also appears that the address of the concerned advocate(s) only mentioned about the bar association room without any specific address or details.

The second appeal is of the year 1968. The same is, accordingly, dismissed on the ground that no one is interested in proceeding or opposing the appeal and the appeal has become infructuous with the long passage of time.

Let the Trial Court Records, if any, be returned to the concerned Trial Court with a copy of this order.

It will be open to the appellant(s) to seek for return of the original certified copy upon completion of necessary formalities.

(Arindam Mukherjee, J.)