

17.02.2025
Item no. 17.
Court No.29.
AB
(Rejected)

CRM (DB) 101 of 2025

In Re: An Application for Bail under Section 439 of the Code of Criminal Procedure, 1973/Under Section 483 of the Bharatiya Nagarik Suraksha Sanhita, 2023 in connection with Dakshineswar Police Station Case No.130 of 2024 Dated 31.08.2024 under Section 126(2)/127(2)/143/144/95/96/61(2)/64/3(5) of the B. N. S. 2023 read with Section 4/17 of the POCSO Act

And

In the matter of : Anurag MukherjeePetitioner.

Mr. Koustav Bagchi,
Mr. Debayan Ghoshfor the Petitioner.

Mr. Iqbal Kabir,
Mr. Abhinaba Mukherjee.....for the State.

Ms. Jhuma Sen,
Ms. Swastika Chatterjee,
Ms. Arpita Defor the Defacto complainant.

Dictated by Arijit Banerjee, J.

1. The petitioner says that he has been falsely implicated. There is no material against him. He is in custody for 171 days. Investigation is complete. His further custodial detention is not necessary.
2. Learned Advocate for the State draws our attention to the statements of the two victim girls. One of them squarely implicates this petitioner, at least prima facie. It appears that there is a prostitution racket, which is carried on involving young girls like the victims in this case. Prima facie, the petitioner seems to be a part of that racket.

3. In view of the aforesaid, we are not inclined to allow the petitioner's prayer for bail, at this stage.
4. The prayer for bail is rejected.
5. CRM (DB) 101 of 2025 is dismissed.
6. All parties shall act in terms of server copy of the order downloaded from the official website of this Court.

(Arijit Banerjee, J.)

(Apurba Sinha Ray, J.)