



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL
PRADESH)
KOHIMA BENCH**

Case No. : WP(C)/167/2025

SHRI TALI AND ANR
S/O LATE SAKULEMSUNG, RESIDENT OF KANCHING VILLAGE, SUB-DIVISION
TAMLU, LONGLENG DISTRICT, LONGLENG NAGALAND 2: KANGCHING
VILLAGE COUNCIL
REPRESENTED BY THE CHAIRMAN
SUB DIVISION TAMLU
LONGLENG DISTRICT
LONGLENG NAGALAN

VERSUS

SHRI CHONGMEI AND 4 ORS
GRANDSON OF LATE CHUAU, R/O TAMLU VILLAGE, SUB DIVISION TAMLU,
DISTRICT- LONGLENG, NAGALAND-798618 2:TAMLU VILLAGE COUNCIL
REPRESENTED BY THE CHAIRMAN
SUB-DIVISION TAMLU
LONGLENG
NAGALAND-798618

3:HEAD DOBASHI (DB)
OFFICE OF THE DBS CUSTOMARY TAMLU
SUB DIVISION TAMLU
LONGLENG NAGALAND-798618

4:STATE OF NAGALAND
REPRESENTED BY ITS ADDITIONAL DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
TAMLU
SUB DIVISION TAMLU
LONGLENG DISTRICT-798618

5:STATE OF NAGALAND
REPRESENTED BY ITS DEPUTY COMMISSIONER
LONGLENG DISTRICT-79862

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. Chingyang Phom, Advocate

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. L.T. Sangtam, Addl. A.G.

**BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEVASHIS BARUAH**

ORDER

Date : 27.08.2025

The learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioners submitted that taking into account the dispute involved, the petitioners would be well advised to file a revision in terms with Rule 32 of the Rules for the Administration of Justice and Police in Nagaland, 1937. He therefore submitted that he would not like to press the instant writ petition without prejudice to his rights to prefer the revision application.

2. Taking into account the above, the instant writ petition stands closed on withdrawal.

3. Liberty is given to the petitioners to prefer a revision in terms with Rule 32 of the Rules for the Administration of Justice and Police in Nagaland, 1937, if so advised.

4. The withdrawal of the instant writ petition shall not prejudice the petitioners.

Sd/-

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant