



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
KOHIMA BENCH

Case No. : WP(C)/150/2025

SHRI TOYEVI AND 2 ORS
S/O LUTHAKHU, WORK-CHARGE LABOUR, UNDER THE
ESTABLISHMENT OF EXECUTIVE ENGINEER PWD, AGHUNATO
DIVISION, NAGALAND

2: SHRI TOHEVI
S/O GHOKHETO
WORK-CHARGE LABOUR
UNDER THE ESTABLISHMENT OF EXECUTIVE ENGINEER PWD
AGHUNATO DIVISION
NAGALAND

3: SHRI KIHETO
S/O KHUHEZU
WORK-CHARGE LABOUR
UNDER THE ESTABLISHMENT OF EXECUTIVE ENGINEER PWD
AGHUNATO DIVISION
NAGALAN

VERSUS

STATE OF NAGALAND AND 4 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY, GOVT. OF NAGALAND

2: THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY
NPWD
NAGALAND KOHIMA

3: THE ENGINEER-IN-CHIEF
NPWD NAGALAND KOHIMA

4: CHIEF ENGINEER
PWD (R AND B) NAGALAND KOHIMA

5:THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PWD
AGHUNATO DIVISION
NAGALAN

Advocate for the Petitioner : S. TEMJEN, CHOPIKA SUMI, TEMSU JAMIR, ALIVI K
CHOPHY

Advocate for the Respondent : GOVT ADV NL,

Linked Case : WP(C)/154/2025

SHRI YESHIKHU AND ANR
S/O VITOLHO
WORK CHARGE LABOUR
UNDER THE ESTABLISHMENT OF EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PWD AGHUNATO DIVISION
NAGALAND

2: SHRI KHESHIVI
S/O ZHEKUTO
WORK CHARGE LABOUR
UNDER THE ESTABLISHMENT OF EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PWD AGHUNATO DIVISION
NAGALAND
VERSUS

STATE OF NAGALAND AND 4 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY
NAGALAND KOHIMA

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY
NPWD
NAGALAND KOHIMA

3:THE ENGINEER-IN-CHIEF
NPWD NAGALAND KOHIMA

4:CHIEF ENGINEER
PWD (R AND B) NAGALAND KOHIMA

5:THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER

PWD
AGHUNATO DIVISION
NAGALAND

Advocate for : S. TEMJEN
Advocate for : GOVT ADV NL appearing for STATE OF NAGALAND AND 4
ORS

Linked Case : WP(C)/157/2025

SHRI SAKISHE
S/O GHOKHETO
WORK CHARGE LABOUR
UNDER THE ESTABLISHMENT OF EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PWD AGHUNATO DIVISION
NAGALAND

VERSUS

STATE OF NAGALAND AND 4 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVT. OF NAGALAND

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY
NPWD
NAGALAND KOHIMA

3:THE ENGINEER-IN-CHIEF
NPWD NAGALAND KOHIMA

4:CHIEF ENGINEER
PWD (R AND B) NAGALAND KOHIMA

5:THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PWD
AGHUNATO DIVISION
NAGALAND

Advocate for : S. TEMJEN
Advocate for : GOVT ADV NL appearing for STATE OF NAGALAND AND 4
ORS

Linked Case : WP(C)/151/2025

SHRI VIHEYI
S/O VIKHUTO
WORK CHARGE
F/LABOUR
UNDER THE ESTABLISHMENT OF EXECUTIVE ENGINEER PWD
AGHUNATO DIVISION
NAGALAND

VERSUS

STATE OF NAGALAND AND 4 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY
NAGALAND KOHIMA

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY
NPWD
NAGALAND KOHIMA

3:THE ENGINEER-IN-CHIEF
NPWD NAGALAND KOHIMA

4:CHIEF ENGINEER
PWD (R AND B) NAGALAND KOHIMA

5:THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PWD
AGHUNATO DIVISION
NAGALAND

Advocate for : S. TEMJEN
Advocate for : GOVT ADV NL appearing for STATE OF NAGALAND AND 4
ORS

Linked Case : WP(C)/153/2025

SHRI HEVUTO
S/O TSATSAGHO
WORK CHARGE F/LABOUR
UNDER THE ESTABLISHMENT OF EXECUTIVE ENGINEER PWD
AGHUNATO DIVISION
NAGALAND

VERSUS

STATE OF NAGALAND AND 4 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY
NAGALAND KOHIMA

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY
NPWD
NAGALAND KOHIMA

3:THE ENGINEER-IN-CHIEF
NPWD NAGALAND KOHIMA

4:CHIEF ENGINEER
PWD (R AND B) NAGALAND KOHIMA

5:THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PWD
AGHUNATO DIVISION
NAGALAND

Advocate for : S. TEMJEN
Advocate for : GOVT ADV NL appearing for STATE OF NAGALAND AND 4
ORS

Linked Case : WP(C)/152/2025

SHRI PHUHOI
S/O GHONIVI
JUGALI
UNDER THE ESTABLISHMENT OF EXECUTIVE ENGINEER PWD
AGHUNATO DIVISION
NAGALAND

VERSUS

STATE OF NAGALAND AND 4 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY
NAGALAND KOHIMA

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY
NPWD
NAGALAND KOHIMA

3:THE ENGINEER-IN-CHIEF
NPWD NAGALAND KOHIMA

4:CHIEF ENGINEER
PWD (R AND B) NAGALAND KOHIMA

5:THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PWD
AGHUNATO DIVISION
NAGALAND

Advocate for : S. TEMJEN
Advocate for : GOVT ADV NL appearing for STATE OF NAGALAND AND 4
ORS

Linked Case : WP(C)/156/2025

SHRI NIKHETO AND ANR
S/O ZUTOVI
WORK CHARGE LABOUR
UNDER THE ESTABLISHMENT OF EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PWD AGHUNATO DIVISION
NAGALAND

2: SHRI AHUTO
S/O VISHETO
WORK CHARGE LABOUR
UNDER THE ESTABLISHMENT OF EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PWD AGHUNATO DIVISION
NAGALAND
VERSUS

STATE OF NAGALAND AND 4 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVT. OF NAGALAND

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY
NPWD
NAGALAND KOHIMA

3:THE ENGINEER-IN-CHIEF
NPWD NAGALAND KOHIMA

4:CHIEF ENGINEER
PWD (R AND B) NAGALAND KOHIMA

5:THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PWD

AGHUNATO DIVISION
NAGALAND

Advocate for : S. TEMJEN

Advocate for : GOVT ADV NL appearing for STATE OF NAGALAND AND 4
ORS

Linked Case : WP(C)/158/2025

SHRI KIVIKHU
S/O KHUIVI
WORK CHARGE LABOUR
UNDER THE ESTABLISHMENT OF EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PWD AGHUNATO DIVISION
NAGALAND

VERSUS

STATE OF NAGALAND AND 4 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVT. OF NAGALAND

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY
NPWD
NAGALAND KOHIMA

3:THE ENGINEER-IN-CHIEF
NPWD NAGALAND KOHIMA

4:CHIEF ENGINEER
PWD (R AND B) NAGALAND KOHIMA

5:THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PWD
AGHUNATO DIVISION
NAGALAND

Advocate for : S. TEMJEN

Advocate for : GOVT ADV NL appearing for STATE OF NAGALAND AND 4
ORS

Linked Case : WP(C)/155/2025

SHRI PITOHO SEMA
S/O VISUTO
WORK CHARGE LABOUR
UNDER THE ESTABLISHMENT OF EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PWD AGHUNATO DIVISION
NAGALAND

VERSUS

STATE OF NAGALAND AND 4 ORS
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY
GOVT. OF NAGALAND

2:THE COMMISSIONER AND SECRETARY
NPWD
NAGALAND KOHIMA

3:THE ENGINEER-IN-CHIEF
NPWD NAGALAND KOHIMA

4:CHIEF ENGINEER
PWD (R AND B) NAGALAND KOHIMA

5:THE EXECUTIVE ENGINEER
PWD
AGHUNATO DIVISION
NAGALAND

Advocate for : S. TEMJEN
Advocate for : GOVT ADV NL appearing for STATE OF NAGALAND AND 4
ORS

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE UNNI KRISHNAN NAIR

ORDER

27.11.2025

The petitioners in the above noted writ petitions had approached this Court praying for a direction upon the respondent authorities to grant them scale of pay in terms of the provisions of the OM dated 17.03.2015 w.e.f. 01.04.2025.

During the pendency of the present proceedings the respondents have entered their appearance in the matter and have filed their affidavits. In the affidavit it has been brought on record that on a scrutiny of the particulars of the service rendered by the petitioners in the above noted writ petitions, it was found that they were placed in the doubtful category. Accordingly, on a processing made in matter which also involves issuance of show cause notice, disputed by the petitioners herein, the service of the petitioners came to be terminated by issuance of Notifications, all dated 06.11.2024. The service of the petitioners now having been terminated, the petitioners are required to challenge the termination order so issued in their respective cases.

The learned counsel for the petitioners, in view of the developments taking place in the matter submitted that he be permitted to withdraw the above noted writ petitions, with liberty to challenge the termination of the services of the petitioners in the above noted writ petitions.

Prayer is not objected to by the learned counsel for the respondents.

In view of the above, the present writ petitions stand dismissed on withdrawal with liberty to the petitioners to assail their respective termination orders as well as to reagitate the prayers made in the above noted writ petitions.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant