

GAHC020003152025



THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL PRADESH)
KOHIMA BENCH

Case No. : I.A.(Crl.)/10/2025

SHRI CHITA DEBBARMA
S/O MAN MOHAN BEBBARMA, R/O PHEZOUCHA, NEW
SECRETARIAT, KOHIMA, P/R CHAMPAHAWAR VLLAGE, KHWAI
DISTRICT, TRIPURA. THROUGH THE JAILOR, DISTRICT JAIL,
KOHIMA NAGALAND

VERSUS

STATE OF NAGALAND AND 2 ORS
NAGALAND KOHIMA

2:MISS ASA DEBBARMA
D/O CHITA DEBBARMA
R/O L KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
PO/PS NORTH PS
P/ADD PEZOUCHA
NEW SECRETARIAT
KOHIMA (MINOR VICTIM)

3:SMTI. TSEILIEVIU TSEIKHA
W/O MHALETUO
R/O L KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
PO/PS NORTH PS. P/ADD PEZOUCHA
NEW SECRETARIAT
KOHIMA
(COMPLAINANT)

Advocate for the Petitioner : TOSHI O. LONGKUMER,

Advocate for the Respondent : P.P, NAGALAND,

Linked Case : CRL.A(J)/1/2025

SHRI CHITA DEBBARMA
S/O MAN MOHAN BEBBARMA
R/O PHEZOUCHA
NEW SECRETARIAT
KOHIMA
P/R CHAMPAHAWAR VLLAGE
KHWAI DISTRICT
TRIPURA.
THROUGH THE JAILOR
DISTRICT JAIL
KOHIMA NAGALAND

VERSUS

STATE OF NAGALAND AND 2 ORS
NAGALAND KOHIMA

2:MISS ASA DEBBARMA
D/O CHITA DEBBARMA
R/O L KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
PO/PS NORTH PS
P/ADD PEZOUCHA
NEW SECRETARIAT
KOHIMA (MINOR VICTIM)

3:SMTI. TSEILIEVIU TSEIKHA
W/O MHALETUO
R/O L KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
PO/PS NORTH PS. P/ADD PEZOUCHA
NEW SECRETARIAT
KOHIMA
(COMPLAINANT)

Advocate for : TOSHI O. LONGKUMER

Advocate for : P.P

NAGALAND appearing for STATE OF NAGALAND AND 2 ORS

BEFORE
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE DEVASHIS BARUAH
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE PRANJAL DAS

Advocates

For the petitioner : Mr. Toshi O. Longkumer
For the respondents : Ms. S. Mere, Sr. GA
: Ms. Kezhosano Kikhi (R-2)

ORDER

03.09.2025

(D. Baruah, J)

This application has been filed under Section 5 of the Limitation Act condoning the delay of 484 days in filing the accompanying appeal against the Judgment dated 11.12.2023 and the sentencing Order dated 12.12.2023 passed by the learned District and Sessions Judge cum Special Judge POCSO, Kohima, Nagaland in GR Case No. 11/2020.

2. We have duly perused the contents of the said application and the reasons so assigned. We are of the opinion that the reasons so assigned constitutes sufficient cause for condoning the delay of 484 days under Section 5 of the Limitation Act.

3. Accordingly, the application stands allowed thereby condoning the delay of 484 days in preferring the accompanying appeal.

4. I.A. stands disposed of.

JUDGE

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant