



**THE GAUHATI HIGH COURT
(HIGH COURT OF ASSAM, NAGALAND, MIZORAM AND ARUNACHAL
PRADESH)
KOHIMA BENCH**

Case No. : FA/2/2025

MR. RUOKUONEILIE KESIEZIE
S/O LATE KEDUOSIEVI KESIEZIE, R/O A30, L-KHEL, KOHIMA VILLAGE,
KOHIMA NAGALAND

VERSUS

MAHINDRA AND MAHINDRA LTD. AND 2 ORS
REGISTERED OFFICE- GATEWAY BUILDING, APOLLO BUNDER, MUMBAI,
MAHARASHTRA

2:MR. S. SANTHANAMAN
COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE IN CHARGE
ZONAL HEAD
EAST CUSTOMER CARE
MAHINDRA AND MAHINDRA LTD.
AUTOMOTIVE SECTOR-7
KYD STREET
3RD FLOOR
KOLKATA
WEST BENGAL

3:MR. ARINDAMROY CHOWDHURY
COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE IN CHARGE
REGIONAL HEAD
GUWAHATI
MAHINDRA AND MAHINDRA LTD.
AUTOMOTIVE SECTOR

Advocate for the Petitioner : A. ZHIMOMI, G KAMEI, NEITSEIZONUO
SOLO, LHOUSINO, ESTHER, JOSHUA SHEQI

Advocate for the Respondent : AKHRIEU CHUSI, IMTI CHANG, THEJANUO
METHA

Linked Case : I.A.(Civil)/45/2025

MR. RUOKUONEILIE KESIEZIE
S/O LATE KEDUOSIEVI KESIEZIE
R/O A30
L-KHEL
KOHIMA VILLAGE
KOHIMA NAGALAND

VERSUS

MAHINDRA AND MAHINDRA LTD. AND 2 ORS
REGISTERED OFFICE- GATEWAY CUILDING
APOLLO BUNDER
MUMBAI
MAHARASHTR

2:MR. S. SANTHANAMAN
COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE IN CHARGE
ZONAL HEAD
EAST CUSTOMER CARE
MAHINDRTA AND MAHINDRA LTD.
AUTOMOTIVE SECTOR-7
KYD STREET
3RD FLOOR
KOLKATA
WEST BENGAL

3:MR. ARINDAMROY CHOWDHURY
COMPANY REPRESENTATIVE IN CHARGE
REGIONAL HEAD
GUWAHATI
MAHINDRA AND MAHINDRA LTD.
AUTOMOTIVE SECTOR.

Advocate for : A. ZHIMOMI
Advocate for : appearing for MAHINDRA AND MAHINDRA LTD. AND 2 ORS

**BEFORE
HON'BLE MRS. JUSTICE YARENJUNGLA LONGKUMER**

ORDER

31/07/2025

This application under Section 149 of the Civil Procedure Code has been filed praying for leave of this Court to file the connected appeal FA/2/2025 against the Judgment dated 27.11.2024 and Decree dated 28.11.2024, passed by the Court of the Civil Judge Senior Division, Kohima, Nagaland with deficit Court fees.

Learned counsel for the petitioner, Mr. Joshua Sheqi submits that the requisite court fees stamps have already been deposited to the Registry of this Court and therefore, submits that the petition may be disposed of.

In view of the fact that the deficit Court fees has already been deposited in the Court, this application is disposed of as infructuous.

JUDGE

Comparing Assistant