Serial No. 05
Regular List

HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA
AT SHILLONG

WP(C) No. 481 of 2022

Date of Decision: 05.12.2025

Smti. Lainish T. Sangma
W/o (L) Sipinder Arengh,
R/o Daribokgiri
P.O Rongsak
P.S. Rongsak, East Garo Hills District,
Meghalaya.

…….. **Petitioner**

- Vs-

1. The Garo Hills Autonomous District Council,
Represented by its Secretary, Executive
Committee, West Garo Hills, Tura.

2. The Chief Executive Member, Garo Hills,
Autonomous District Council, Tura.

3. Executive Member, I/C Revenue & Land,
Garo Hills, Autonomous District Council, Tura.

4. Shri. Chelting M. Sangma,
R/o Mandalgiri,
P.O & P.S Rongsak,
East Garo Hills District,
Meghalaya.

5. Shri. Preditson M. Sangma,
R/o Mandalgiri,
P.O & P.S Rongsak,
East Garo Hills District,
Meghalaya.

6. Shri. Ballen Ch. Marak,

R/o Mandalgiri,
P.O & P.S Rongsak,
East Garo Hills District,
Meghalaya.

7. Smti. Nokot M. Sangma,
R/o Mandalgiri,
P.O & P.S Rongsak,
East Garo Hills District,
Meghalaya.

8. Shri. John Illipson S. Marak,
R/o Mandalgiri,
P.O & P.S Rongsak,
East Garo Hills District,
Meghalaya.

…… **Respondents**

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice W. Diengdoh, Judge

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/Appellant(s) : Mr. P.T. Sangma, Adv.

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. D. Wahlang, Adv. vice
Mr. S. Dey, SC, GHADC/R 1-3.
Mr. S. Chanda, Adv.
Mr. B. Snaitang, Adv. vice
Mr. K. Paul, Sr. Adv. for R 4-8.

i) Whether approved for reporting in Law journals etc.: Yes/No

ii) Whether approved for publication in press: Yes/No

JUDGMENT AND ORDER (ORAL)

1. Mr. P.T. Sangma, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted

that, he is instructed to submit before this Court that the petitioner wishes to withdraw this petition with liberty to proceed with any alternative remedy applicable.

2. Mr. S. Chanda, learned counsel appearing on behalf of the respondent Nos. 4-8 has not objected to the prayer made for withdrawal of this petition, but has however objected to the prayer for liberty sought for.

3. Mr. D. Wahlang, learned counsel vice Mr. S. Dey, learned Standing Counsel appears on behalf of the respondent Nos. 1-3/GHADC.

4. On prayer made, this petition is hereby dismissed as withdrawn. However, as far as the liberty sought for, this Court, would hold that the petitioner, if so permissible by law, has the liberty to approach any forum to agitate any matter, if so aggrieved, and for that, no specific liberty is required to be given. However, it is reiterated that the petitioner would not be barred to approach any forum or even this Court, if so desired.

5. Petition disposed of.

Judge

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by
DARIKORDOR NARY
Date: 2025.12.05 17:54:52 IST