



Serial No. 01
Regular List

HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA
AT SHILLONG

WP(C) No. 204 of 2025

Date of Decision: 16.06.2025

Shri Abdul Hussain SK

... **Petitioner(s)**

Versus

1. The State of Meghalaya represented by
The Chief Secretary to the Govt. of Meghalaya

2. Secretary,
Ministry of Road Transport and Highways
Govt. of India

3. The Deputy Commissioner (Revenue)
West Garo Hills District, Tura

.... **Respondent(s)**

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice H. S. Thangkhiew, Judge

Appearance:

For the Petitioner(s) : Mr. S. Deb, Adv.

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. S. Sen, GA (For R 1&3)
Ms. M. Myrchiang, Adv.
vice Dr. N. Mozika, DSGI (For R 2)

- | | | |
|-----|--|--------|
| i) | Whether approved for reporting in
Law journals etc: | Yes/No |
| ii) | Whether approved for publication
in press: | Yes/No |



(ORAL)

1. Heard Mr. S. Deb, learned counsel for the petitioner.
2. Issue notice.
3. Mr. S. Sen, learned GA is present and accepts notice on behalf of the respondents Nos. 1 & 3. Ms. M. Myrchiang, learned counsel vice Dr. N. Mozika, learned DSGI is present on behalf of the respondent No. 2, so no further notice is called for.
4. The grievance of the writ petitioner is with regard to the acquisition of land for extension of NH 127 B (Phulbari to Georagre Section), whereby the petitioner's land has been notified to be acquired but however, when the notification has been issued, the area shown as per the petitioner is incorrect.
5. Mr. S. Deb, learned counsel for the petitioner has submitted that an objection/representation has been filed before the Deputy Commissioner, (Revenue) West Garo Hills Tura, which is still pending consideration. He submits that his only prayer at this stage, is for the Deputy Commissioner to dispose of the representation.
6. Mr. S. Sen, learned GA for the respondents Nos. 1 & 3, has submitted that the writ petition is vague, and even the impugned notification has not been enclosed. As such, he submits it is difficult for him to even seek instructions from the Deputy Commissioner.



7. Having considered the matter and also perused the representation, it appears that the petitioner is only seeking some clarity with regard to the notification that has been issued by the Ministry of Road Transport and Highways.

8. Accordingly, the writ petition is disposed with a direction that the Deputy Commissioner examine the representation filed by the petitioner, which is annexed at Annexure-7 to the writ petition, as to whether the writ petitioner is entitled to any relief at all.

9. With the above directions, the matter stands closed and is accordingly disposed of.

JUDGE

Meghalaya
16.06.2025
"V. Lyndem-PS"