



Serial No. 05
Regular List

HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA
AT SHILLONG

MC (WPC) No. 63 of 2025in
WP(C) No. 42 of 2025

Date of Decision: 16.04.2025

Syiem Raid Marngar and Dorbar Myllem Syiemship,
Ri-Bhoi District

...Petitioner(s)/Applicant(s)

Versus

1. The Khasi Hills Autonomous District Council
Represented by its Secretary, Shillong
East Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya.
2. The Chief Executive Member,
Khasi Hills Autonomous District Council, Shillong-793002
East Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya
3. The Deputy Chief Executive Member,
Khasi Hills Autonomous District Council, Shillong-793002
East Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya
4. The Executive Member (EM) in-charge
Khasi Hills Autonomous District Council, Shillong-793002
East Khasi Hills District, Meghalaya
5. Joint Action Committee, San Shnong Hima Myllem,
Ri-Bhoi District, represented by its Secretary
Shri L. Wahlang
6. Syiem of Hima Myllem,
Myllem Syiemship

.... Respondent(s)



Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice H. S. Thangkhiew, Judge

Appearance:

For the Petitioner(s)/Applicant(s): Mr. T. Dkhar, Adv.

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. T.T. Diengdoh, Sr. Adv. with
Mr. C.C.T. Sangma, Adv. (For R 1-4)

i)	Whether approved for reporting in Law journals etc:	Yes/No
----	--	--------

ii)	Whether approved for publication in press:	Yes/No
-----	---	--------

(ORAL)

1. This is an application for renewal of the interim order dated 20.02.2025, passed by this Court in MC (WPC) No. 23 of 2025 arising out WP(C) No. 42 of 2025.

2. It is submitted by Mr. T. Dkhar, learned counsel for the petitioner/applicant that this Court on being satisfied that a prima facie case existed in favour of the writ petitioner, had by the order dated 20.02.2025, passed an interim order keeping the impugned order dated 21.01.2015, suspended until the next date. However, he submits due to inadvertence, he could not be present on the last date, which has resulted in the said interim order lapsing. He therefore, prays for the ends of



justice, the interim order be revived, and the matter be taken up for consideration.

3. Mr. T.T. Diengdoh, learned Senior counsel assisted by Mr. C.C.T. Sangma, learned counsel appearing for the respondents Nos. 1 to 4, has submitted that the issues involved are very limited and if the private respondents were present, perhaps the matter could have been disposed of.

4. However, on perusal of the office note, it is indicated that service is yet to be completed, on the respondent No. 5.

5. Accordingly, this Misc. Case stands disposed of by allowing the interim order to continue till the next date, when the main case is fixed.

JUDGE

Meghalaya
16.04.2025
"V. Lyndem-PS"