Serial No.09
Regular List

HIGH COURT OF MEGHALAYA
AT SHILLONG

WP(C). No. 87 of 2025

Date of Decision: 27.08.2025

Shri. Zilur Rahman,
S/o: (L) Umed Ali.

…Petitioners

-Versus-

1. Garo Hills Autonomous District Council, Tura,
Represented by the Secretary to the GHADC,
Tura.

2. The Chief Executive Member,
Garo Hills Autonomous District Council, Tura.

3. The Assistant Settlement Officer,
I/C Land Records Branch,
Garo Hills Autonomous District Council, Tura.

4. The Revenue Officer,
I/C Land Records,
Garo Hills Autonomous District Council, Tura.

5. Shri. Moynal Hoque,
S/o: Abbas Ali,
R/o: Kaimbatapara,
P.O: Bholarbhita, P.S: Phulbari,
Dist: West Garo Hills, Meghalaya.

6. Shri. Bodiswar Rabha,
S/o: Boshiram Rabha,
R/o: Kaimbatapara,
P.O: Bholarbhita, P.S: Phulbari,
Dist: West Garo Hills, Meghalaya.

7. Shri. Brijo Rabha,
R/o: Kaimbatapara,
P.O: Bholarbhita, P.S: Phulbari,
Dist: West Garo Hills, Meghalaya.

…Respondents

Coram:

Hon'ble Mr. Justice H.S.Thangkhiew, Judge

Appearance:

For the Petitioner/Applicant(s) : Mr. A.H.Hazarika, Adv.

For the Respondent(s) : Mr. S.Dey, SC for R 1-4.
Ms. M.Rahman, Adv. for R 5,6&7.

---

i) Whether approved for reporting in Law journals etc: Yes/No

ii) Whether approved for publication in press: Yes/No

JUDGMENT AND ORDER (ORAL)

1. Today, Mr. S.Dey, learned counsel for the respondents No. 1-4 GHADC, has produced a report of the Lot Mandals with regard to the demarcation. As per the report which is taken on record, it appears that the demarcation could not be successfully carried out due to certain discrepancies from the part of the petitioner himself who could not identify his possession as per the records.

2. The writ petition, when it was filed had only sought for directions to issue to the respondent authorities to demarcate the land of the petitioner and the grievance was confined to the alleged inaction of the respondent authorities.

3. As the demarcation has been carried out, notwithstanding the fact that it was unsuccessful, the prayer of the writ petitioner has since been answered and the writ petitioner is left to avail other remedies in accordance with law, if still aggrieved.

4. Writ petition accordingly stands closed and disposed of.

Judge

Signature Not Verified
Digitally signed by
SAMANTHA ANNA LIYA
RYNJAH
Date: 2025.08.27 04:08:28 IST