

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

W.A. No. 23 of 2025

State of Manipur; & Ors.

Appellants

Vs.

Khangkhanglung @ Akhan Rongmei

Respondent

BEFORE

**HON'BLE THE CHIEF JUSTICE MR. D. KRISHNAKUMAR
HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE AHANTHEM BIMOL SINGH**

06.05.2025

D. Krishnakumar, C.J. :

Mr. M. Rarry, learned senior counsel, appears for the appellants; and
Mr. Julius Riamei, learned counsel, appears for the respondent.

Mr. M. Rarry, learned senior counsel appearing for the appellants, submits that the respondent/writ petitioner has been occupying the Government Quarter as per schedule of land and the government quarter is shown as southern portion of the land allotted to the petitioner and the Government Quarter is not included in the land allotted to the petitioner. At the time of admission of the writ petition, viz., W.P. (C) No. 149 of 2025, learned single Judge passed interim order dated 25.02.2025 to maintain status quo as on date, until further order.

Aggrieved by the aforesaid order, the present writ appeal has been filed before this Court.

Mr. Julius Riamei, learned counsel for the respondent/writ petitioner, strongly objected for entertaining the writ appeal, on the ground that the aforesaid interim order has been granted by the learned Single Judge at the time of admission of the writ petition, after considering all the documents relied upon in the writ

petition, and if the appellants are aggrieved, they have every right to file appropriate application for vacating the interim order, by placing necessary documents in proof of their contention before the writ court. Therefore, the writ appeal is liable to be dismissed.

In these circumstances, we are not inclined to entertain the writ appeal.

However, considering the fact, liberty is given to the appellants to file an appropriate application before the learned Single Judge for vacating the interim order, by placing all relevant documents, and if any such application is filed within a period of two weeks from the date of receipt of a copy of this order, the writ court shall consider and pass appropriate order in the aforesaid application as early as possible, preferably within a period of six weeks.

With the above observation, the present writ appeal stands disposed of.

It is made clear that the observation made by the learned single judge or this Court shall not influence at the time of hearing of the application.

Sandeep
JUDGE

CHIEF JUSTICE