

**IN THE HIGH COURT OF MANIPUR
AT IMPHAL**

WP(C) No.226 of 2025 with
MC (WP(C)) No.214 of 2025

Keipha Momon & 30 Ors ... Petitioners

-Versus-

State of Manipur & 4 Ors ... Respondents

BEFORE

HON'BLE MR. JUSTICE A.GUNESHWAR SHARMA

28.3.2025

Heard Mr.M.Hemchandra, learned senior counsel assisted by Mr.M.Rendy, learned counsel for the petitioners and Mr.S.Niranjan, learned Government Advocate for the State respondent Nos.1-4 and Mr.W.Darakishwor, learned Sr.PCCG for respondent No.5.

[2] Petitioner No.1 is the Chief of Kaziphung Village Authority and petitioners No.2 to 31 are the inhabitants of the said village. The petitioners had submitted a detailed representation dated 3.3.2025 to the D.C, Chandel, (a) for payment of MG-NREGS wages and other related funds; (b) issue of necessary Tribe, Domicile/ Residential & Income Certificates and other Certificates for the villagers and; (c) Release of PMAYG fund for the beneficiaries. Similar representation is submitted to the Sub Divisional Officer Chandel, by the present petitioners with a prayer for considering representation dated 3.3.2025 submitted by the petitioners to the D.C., Chandel.

[3] At this stage, Mr.M.Hemchandra, learned senior counsel for the petitioners submits that the writ petition may

be disposed of by directing the D.C, Chandel to consider the representation dated 3.3.2025 and pass a speaking order in terms of law and materials available on record, within a stipulated period.

[4] Mr.S.Niranjan, learned Government Advocate raises objection to the multiplicity of unrelated prayer in the writ petition and has no objection in disposing the representation by directing the D.C, Chandel to dispose the representation dated 3.3.2025 submitted by the petitioners as per law.

[5] This Court after considering the materials on record and the submissions made at the Bar, the writ petition is disposed of by directing the D.C., Chandel to consider and pass speaking order on the representation dated 3.3.2025 submitted by the petitioners as per law and on the basis of materials available on record, within a period of two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this order.

[7] It is made clear that this court does not express any opinion on the merit of the case. Further, this court does not express any opinion on the representation dated 11.3.2025 regarding payment of unemployment allowance to the petitioners.

Misc case also stands disposed of.

JUDGE

Priyojit