

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
CRIMINAL MISCELLANEOUS No.88006 of 2025

Arising Out of PS. Case No.-194 Year-2025 Thana- SINDHIYA District- Samastipur

Babul Singh @ Babul Kumar Singh S/o Kari Singh @ Virendra Prasad Singh
R/o - Ward No.06, Singhia, (Sindhiya), P.S - Singhia, (Sindhiya), District -
Samastipur, Bihar

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

The State of Bihar Bihar

... .. Opposite Party/s

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Vinay Kumar Mishra, Advocate
For the Opposite Party/s : Mr.Ramesh Chandra, APP

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE RAJIV ROY
ORAL ORDER

2 22-12-2025 Heard Mr. Vinay Kumar Mishra, learned counsel for

the petitioner and the

2. The petitioner is apprehending arrest in connection
with Singhiya P.S. Case No. 194 of 2025 instituted under
Sections 30(a) of the Bihar Prohibition and Excise Act lodged
on 17.10.2025 by the informant, Rajnish Kumar.

3. As per the prosecution story, the police during
secret information, searched the house of the petitioner and
there is recovery/seizure of 2.625 liters of foreign liquor. This
led to the FIR.

4. Learned counsel for the petitioner submits that



nothing has been recovered from his conscious possession. It is the joint house and the petitioner do not have criminal antecedent.

5. Further, learned counsel for the petitioner relied on the judgment of the Full Bench of Hon'ble Patna High Court in the case of **Ram Vinay Yadav vs. State of Bihar** reported in **2019(2) PLJR 1089** wherein it has been held that an application for anticipatory bail in a case arising of of Bihar Excise and Prohibition Act can be maintained, despite the bar under section 76(2) of the Act, if on the basis of allegation made in the FIR, no offence under the said provision is made out.

6. Learned APP opposes the prayer submitting that recovery is from the house of the petitioner.

7. Considering the submissions of the parties as also and the judgment of **Ram Vinay Yadav (supra)** the fact that nothing has been recovered from her conscious possession, the petitioner do not have criminal antecedent, nothing has been recovered from his conscious possession, in that background, in that background, this Court is inclined to extend him the privilege of anticipatory bail.

8. Let the petitioner be released on bail, in the event of his arrest or surrender before the concerned court within a



period of four weeks from the receipt of this order, on furnishing bail bond of Rs. 10,000/- (Ten Thousand) with two sureties of the like amount each in connection with Singhiya P.S. Case No. 194 of 2025 to the satisfaction of learned Excl. Spl. Judge, Excise-1, Samastipur subject to the conditions as laid down under Section 438(2) of the Cr.P.C. as also the other conditions.

(i) one of the bailor should be the family member/relative of the petitioner who shall provide official document to show his/her bona fide;

(ii) the petitioner shall appear on each and every date before the Trial Court and failure to do so for two consecutive dates without plausible reason will entail cancellation of his bail bond by the Trial Court itself;

(iii) the petitioner shall appear before the concerned police station every fortnight for next six months to mark his attendance and at the end of the period a certificate be submitted before the Trial Court failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of bail bonds;

(iv) the petitioner shall in no way try to induce or promise or threat the witnesses or tamper with the evidences, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of the bail bonds;



(v) the petitioner shall desist from committing any criminal offence again, failing which the State shall be at liberty to take steps for cancellation of his bail bonds.

(Rajiv Roy, J)

Ravi/-

U		T	
---	--	---	--

