

IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT PATNA
Civil Writ Jurisdiction Case No.1536 of 2025

=====

Md. Shahnawaj Alam Son of Md. Yunus Resident of Village- Ahamnagar,
Dakhin Tola, P.S.- Ajamnagar, District- Katihar (Bihar)

... .. Petitioner/s

Versus

1. The State of Bihar
2. The District Magistrate Siwan at District- Katihar (Bihar).
3. The District Education employment Appellate Authority, Katihar (Bihar).
4. The District Education Officer, Katihar.
5. The District Education superintendent Of Education, Katihar.
6. Block development Officer, Ajamnagar Block, District- Katihar.
7. The Secretary Gram Panchayat Raj, Ajamnagar Block, Ajamnagar, Katihar.
8. The Secretary Gram Panchayat at Raj Kharsota Block Ajamnagar, District- Katihar.
9. Mukhiya, Gram Panchayat at Raj Kharsota Block, Ajamnagar, District- Katihar.
10. Block Education Officer, Azamnagar, Katihar.

... .. Respondent/s

=====

Appearance :

For the Petitioner/s : Mr.Md. Imtiyaz Hussain, Advocate
For the Respondent/s : Mr. Amit Bhushan, AC to GP 17

=====

CORAM: HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE PURNENDU SINGH
ORAL ORDER

2 30-01-2025 Heard Mr. Md. Imtiyaz Hussain, learned counsel

 appearing on behalf of the petitioner and Mr. Amit Bhushan,

 learned AC to GP 17 for the State.

2. Petitioner has *inter alia* prayed for following reliefs

in the paragraphs No.1 of the writ petition:-

*“That this writ petition for issuance of
Writ or CERTIORARY for setting aside the part
order issued vide Memo No.328 dated 05.12.2012 of
Zila Sikshak Niyojan Appillay Pradhikar (District*



Teacher Employment, Appellate Authority, Katihar relating to petitioner only by which Appeal No.17 of 2010 of the petitioner and other two Appeals all were heard together and all were rejected. The case of the petitioner (Appeal No.17 of 2010) has been rejected on the ground that the name of the petitioner is not in application list he did not appear in counselling held on 28-02-2009.”

3. Learned counsel appearing on behalf of the petitioner seeks liberty to file appeal before the State Appellate Authority against the decision of the District Appellate Authority.

4. Considering the aforesaid submission made on behalf of petitioner, the writ petition is disposed of with the aforesaid liberty.

(Purnendu Singh, J)

Sanjay/-

U			
---	--	--	--

